# The Copy/Paste approach to transpose EU directives João Tiago Silveira

2020 International Association of Legislation Conference, organised by the General Secretariat for Legal and Parliamentary Affairs, Presidency of the Hellenic Government 12th February 2022













### Sumário

- 1. The copy/paste approach to transpose EU Directives
- 2. 6 topics on the copy/paste approach to transpose EU Directives
- 3. Conclusions





# 1. The copy/paste approach to transpose EU Directives



#### Copy/paste approach: concept

- EU members frequently transpose EU directives by using a copy/paste approach
  - ➤ Insertion of the full text of the directive into the national framework without adapting it
  - > Text of the national legislation becomes almost the same or follows closely the text of the transposed directive
- But it is also common to adapt the text of the EU directive instead of using a copy/paste approach





#### Copy/paste approach: pros and cons

#### Pros:

- > Provides for a more common legal framework among Member States
- ➤ Clarity and access: citizens and companies of EU are able to better understand the legal framework wherever they are
- > Allows a speedier transposition; less transposition works

#### Cons:

- ➤ Less effective piece of legislation due to its non-resemblance to the national legislative framework
- Clarity may be at stake: interpretation may be more difficult due to the use of legal terms different from the national ones
- More room for conflicts if the new legislation does not fit into the national framework





# 2. 6 topics on the copy/paste approach to transpose EU Directives



#### 1st topic: Is transposing always required?

- Is it required/advisable to draft and approve a piece of legislation even when transposing is unnecessary from a legal standpoint?
- This is the case when the national legal framework complies with the directive obligations prior to its transposition

- ➤ It is not required to transpose what is already included in the national framework (e.g. French Ministry of Foreign Affairs considered unnecessary to transpose Directive 2008/114 on critical infrastructures)
- ➤ It may be advisable in subject matters where effectiveness is critical: reading a single piece of legislation to understand the overall picture of the regulation without searching for additional legislation may increase effectiveness of the legislation



# 2nd topic: The structure of the EU directive should be closely followed or adapted?

- Should a specific new piece of national legislation be approved to transpose each directive?
- This is the case when the directive is divided into parts and the national legislation is divided in different parts
- It is also the case when some of the provisions of the directive are set forth in annexes and national legislation includes its contents into provisions



# 2nd topic: The structure of the EU directive should be closely followed or adapted?

**Ex1:** Directive 2010/75 on industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control) was transposed in Spain by the *Real Decreto Legislativo 1/2016, de 16 de diciembre,* with a different structure (e.g. different chapters/títulos)

**Ex2:** Directive 2010/75 on industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control) adopted procedures for public participation in permit procedures and such procedures for public participation were set forth in its annex IV. This is a part of the permit procedure and the provisions could therefore be included in the articles referred to such procedure by national legislation (as in *artículo 16* of *Real Decreto Legislativo 1/2016, de 16 de diciembre* in Spain)





# 2nd topic: The structure of the EU directive should be closely followed or adapted?

- > In general, the structure and wording may be adapted, if required
- ➤ If/when possible, structure should be preserved: it allows for a better and swifter understanding of how directives provisions were transposed
- The transposition should be included in an existing law if the subject matter is the same or close (Directive 89/665 on review procedures to the award of public supply and public works contracts is transposed in Portugal by the Code of Administrative Justice with a much wider scope)
- ➤ Several different directives should be transposed by a single act if the subject matter is the same or close (In Portugal, *Decreto-Lei 127/2013 de 30 de Agosto* transposed several directives on environmental subjects)



## 3rd topic: Should national drafting style be used to transpose EU directives?

- Should national legislative techniques be used for the transposition?
- Is it advisable to use national drafting styles instead of EU legislative drafting style?

**Ex:** Certain provisions of Directive 2009/81 on procedures for the award of contracts and in the fields of defence and security have more than one paragraph in each number (e.g. article 5-1). This is not accepted in Portuguese drafting style and therefore *Decreto-Lei 104/2011, de 6 de outubro* uses a number for each paragraph.

• **Response:** Using of national drafting style is advisable in order to i) enhance clarity and ii) provide for a better insertion and interpretation of the provisions within the national framework



# 4th topic: Should the "whereas" of the Directive be used in the national legislation?

- The "whereas" of the directives provides important information on the reasoning of the provisions adopted
- In general, they also contribute for a more accurate interpretation of the national legislation, which is bounded by the directive
- National legislations do not always have a "national preamble"
- When they have, it usually does not provide as many data as the directive's "whereas"

**Ex:** Directive 2009/81 on procedures for the award of contracts and in the fields of defence has an extensive "whereas" aimed to explain the purpose of its provisions. In Belgium, the *Loi 13 août 2011, as* amended,, does not have a preamble.





#### 4th topic: Should the "whereas" of the Directive be used in the national legislation?

- Response: the content of the "whereas" of the directives should be more used to reason preambles of national legislations
  - ➤ It allows to better understand the provisions of the legislation
  - ➤ It provides instruments to a more accurate interpretation of the national legislation in accordance with the EU legislation
  - > But it should not be "copy/pasted"





## 5th topic: Should national laws copy/paste the list of definitions of the directive?

- Many European national frameworks do not use so extensive "lists" of definitions as the EU directives
  - > Definitions are inserted in articles related to the subject matter rather than in specific articles for definitions
  - > Articles with definitions are not as extensive as in directives

**Ex:** Directive 2010/75 on industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control) lists a huge number of definitions

- ➤ Some of them are already defined by other EU legislation (e.g. "poultry")
- Some others are not definitions but provisions of the regulation (e.g. "substantial change")
- ➤Others do not really need to be defined by law (e.g. "diesel engine" or "soil" as "the top layer of the Earth's crust situated between the bedrock and the surface. The soil is composed of mineral particles, organic matter, water, air and living organisms")





### 5th topic: Should national laws copy/paste the list of definitions of the directive?

- ➤ No need to repeat the list of definitions of the directives. Should be used when it is advisable to better understand/explain the provisions of the legislation
- > Very often, definitions are not required to be transposed:
  - ✓ Not required when the national framework already provides an acceptable definition
  - ✓ Not required if the definition is not used by the provisions of the legislation
  - ✓ Not required if the definition states what is obvious.
- ➤ If the definitions is required, it may be advisable to include it in a specific article instead of an article aimed to list definitions (e.g. when the legal term is only used in a specific article/chapter of the law)



### 6th topic: How to deal with legal terms not matching with national laws?

 In some cases, the legal terms used by the directive do not match with the legal terms used by national legislation

**Ex:** Directive 2010/75 on industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control) defines "*licença*" as "*uma autorização escrita para explorar a totalidade...*". "licença" and "autorização" are two different types of permits under Portuguese Law, but they were used as if they were the same by EU legislation.

- ➤ Use the national legal terms (even of you have to use several/different legal terms) to comply with the directive
- ➤ If it is not a legal term widely used by the national framework, consider to amend the national legal term to be fully in line with EU legislation





### 3. Conclusions





#### 3. Conclusions

- There is not a general answer of yes/no to the copy/paste approach
- Different values need to be balanced when choosing to use the copy/paste technique or not (clarity/effectiveness/interpretation)
- In certain topics (e.g. using of "whereas") the text of the directives should be more used
- In other topics, national legislation should adapt the directive text or consider if avoiding copy/paste would contribute to clarity/efectiveness/interpretation of the legislation (e.g. legal terms which do not match; definitions; drafting style)





### Obrigado!

www.joaotiagosilveira.org

joao.tiago.silveira@mlgts.pt

joao.tiago.silveira@gmail.com

joaotiagosilveira@fd.ulisboa.pt